



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,592	09/15/2003	Andrew E. Fano	10022/397	3285
28164	7590	02/19/2009	EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE				
P O BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/663,592	FANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William J. Deane	2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William J. Deane.

(3) Christopher Sukhaphadhana.

(2) Ahmad Matar.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12Feb2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Salvucci et al (20020057784 and Zellner (20020076003).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative clarified the invention and made good points about the prior art. However, the examiners point to the fact that there were restictable claims as now presented. Applicant's representative said he would take the restriction considerations under advisement as he prepares his Response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William J Deane/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614	
--	--